

# World Information

## Relevance of UV disinfection methods for hospital hygiene

Elena Kraft<sup>1</sup>, Janine Kunzmann<sup>1</sup>, Mathias Kunzmann<sup>1</sup>, Jörg Steinmann<sup>1</sup>, Florian H.H. Brill<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Clinical Hygiene, Medical Microbiology and Clinical Infectiology, Paracelsus Medical University Klinikum Nuremberg Prof.-Ernst-Nathan-Str. 1 90419 Nuremberg, Germany  
<sup>2</sup> Dr. Brill + Partner GmbH Institute for Hygiene and Microbiology Stiegstück 34 22339 Hamburg, Germany, www.brillinstitutes.com, florian.b@brillhygiene.com



### Background

Approximately 400,000 to 600,000 nosocomial infections occur every year in Germany alone(1). These figures illustrate that the prevention of nosocomial infections is enormously important when it comes to patient care in hospitals. The transmission of and infection with bacteria, fungi, viruses and parasites can occur in various ways. In addition to hand and skin contact, contaminated surfaces or medical devices are also potential causes of transmission, as are contaminated drinking water and pathogens in the air. Efficient and reliably effective disinfection methods are key to the successful prevention of hospital-acquired infections, one example of which that damages microorganisms is irradiation with ultraviolet light (UV). The effectiveness of UV-C radiation in preventing microbial growth, in addition to eradicating and reducing the persistence of microorganisms was first discovered in 1877 and has been described and proven many times since(2,3). UV-C disinfection works by damaging the genetic material of microorganisms using high-energy and short-wavelength radiation of 254 nm using, e.g. a low-pressure mercury lamp(4). The further away the irradiated surface is from the light source, the less effective the disinfection and thus the damage to the potentially pathogenic agents(5). Essentially, the irradiation time and intensity must be sufficient to achieve the desired result. However, microorganisms react with varying degrees of sensitivity to UV-C radiation(6).



Fig 1: UV Disinfection System SEPALIGHT

### Effectiveness and benefits of disinfection using UV radiation and possible in-hospital uses

UV radiation can be used in hospitals to disinfect surfaces and indoor air, as well as in the treatment of drinking water and wastewater systems(3). More specifically, UV-C disinfection has become an established method for the treatment and disinfection of drinking water(7,8). One advantage of the UV disinfection of drinking water compared to chemical disinfection is that it does not alter the taste or odour of the water. Further, the microorganisms in the water do not build up resistance to UV radiation. Meanwhile, UV-C radiation is also used as a disinfection method in dialysis water or in the wetted

parts of dialysis machines. Concerning whether to apply UV disinfection to central air handling units or mobile air purifiers, there are certain factors that must be carefully considered to achieve the desired disinfection performance: the specific parameters of the room size, irradiation intensity, flow velocity and, above all, the dwell time of the air. UV disinfection of the air has not yet become established in the hospital sector, compared to filtration via HEPA filters. Yet, the use of UV-C rays to disinfect surfaces has become more important. High-energy UV-C radiation not only damages potentially pathogenic germs, but exposure to UV-C radiation can also be harmful to humans, causing considerable damage to the eyes and skin. All UV rays have been classified as carcinogenic;(9) for this reason, UV disinfection processes may only be conducted if human exposure can be eliminated. One study showed a significant reduction in the number of germs on computer keyboards in patient rooms following UV-C irradiation(10). Other studies report positive effects associated with disinfectants and UV use, showing a reduction in the incidences of *Clostridioides difficile* and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* (VRE)(11,12). In some hospitals, autonomous UV disinfection robots are used to disinfect surfaces.

### Practical use of UV surface disinfection in hospitals

Some especially important factors for ensuring successful disinfection include correct exposure times and radiation intensity, in addition to the deep cleaning process required beforehand(13). Troublesome 'shadow-forming' objects may prevent the disinfection of surfaces behind them. The varying exposure times for different microorganisms render it difficult to generalise about duration and intensity. Manufacturers specify periods between 10 and 20 minutes per room for complete disinfection.

Controlled studies on the prevention of nosocomial infections are not yet available. A UV-C system used in Switzerland as one measure for containing an outbreak of VRE was shown to have likely helped end the outbreak(5). However, it is not possible to evaluate the individual impact of UV irradiation when it is one of many hygiene measures. UV disinfection robots are always an extra measure, adopted in addition to standard room reprocessing, and they require a small number of personnel to perform the disinfection procedure. In addition, the lay-out of rooms must be recorded, or 'learned', prior to commissioning, and this process must be repeated after repositioning furniture, etc. The robot can only operate fully autonomously if the paths to the sites of operation are completely barrier-free. As such, safety features must be in place when using the robot to prevent human exposure(12). UV disinfection robots are particularly useful in sensitive areas,

such as intensive care units, operation rooms and canteens/kitchens. A field study in a dialysis unit showed that it is important to implement a cleaning step before UV application for disinfection to ensure a similar outcome as standard disinfection with an impregnated wipe(13). In response, some criteria must be considered when selecting a suitable location and the desired effect when using a UV device. These criteria include accessibility to the location, time windows during which the room will be unoccupied, the provision of additional safety measures if someone enters the room, positioning in the room or in the ventilation system and an indication of the rooms in which UV-C disinfection would be most effective. Before disinfecting with UV-C radiation, physical cleaning measures must be conducted to remove any dirt and debris, so the microorganisms are exposed and can be reached by the UV rays. As an additional safety measure for surfaces in extremely sensitive areas, such as intensive care units and surgeries where it is particularly important to minimise the number of germs, or when disinfecting water or air, UV disinfection can help to reduce germs and therefore prevent infection. As yet, there is little research on the effects of radiation on materials exposed to UV-C radiation when disinfecting surfaces. It is therefore not yet possible to determine whether frequent treatment with UV radiation causes faster wear and tear on surfaces.

### Summary and key factors for successful use of UV radiation in hospitals

In conclusion, it can be said that in some high-risk areas, such as the dialysis unit, UV disinfection methods have already been in use for years; yet, there are no recommendations or guidelines from national or international agencies or medical associations regarding the use of UV disinfection as the sole method for preventing the transmission of infectious diseases. Although the efficacy of the method in damaging microorganisms is undisputed, there are still few findings or studies providing evidence that the method reduces hospital-acquired infections, especially compared with other established methods of cleaning and disinfection. It should also be noted that the durability of UV lamps and the relevant maintenance and servicing cycles would need to be considered. Before a decision is made to invest in a UV-C system, it is important to define its exact purpose and desired benefit, as well as how it relates to the other infection prevention measures in place. Ask yourself the following questions: Where and when would the machine be used? Which rooms would be available for the disinfection process and when? Isolation rooms? Intensive care units? Surgeries? What other benefits am I expecting from this system with regard to improving infection prevention? Will this system help me conserve resources and therefore possibly relieve the pressure on some departments? How will the introduction of a new form of technology affect the motivation of the staff who will be responsible for its operation? What documents/validation data am I expecting from the manufacturer, such as proof of efficacy, for example, pursuant to DIN EN 17272 (see HosCom 2024 vol.21 no.2) or field studies from other hospitals/departments? What does the manufacturer recommend for validation in the field? Is there support or training available for validation? Who will be responsible for the machine when it comes to validating its efficacy, maintenance and servicing, storage and updating the programmed rooms and spaces? What costs will be incurred in the operation of the machine and who will bear them? Who will be responsible for using the machine? It is important to clarify whether use of the machine will be organised by staff from the cleaning team, the hygiene department or the ward and

which of these departments will be responsible for operating it. Sufficient resources must then be made available to these departments and staff must be trained accordingly(3).

### References

1. Zacher B, Haller S, Willrich N, et al. Application of a new methodology and R package reveals a high burden of healthcare-associated infections (HAI) in Germany compared to the average in the European Union/European Economic Area, 2011 to 2012 p. 28. *Eurosurveillance* 2019;24(46). doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.46.1900135
2. Downes A, Blunt T. The influence of light upon the development of bacteria. *Nature Publishing Group* 1877. doi.org/10.1038/016218a0
3. Kraft E, Kunzmann J, Kunzmann, Steinmann J, Brill FHH. Efficacy and benefits of UV disinfection methods in hospitals – implications for hospital hygiene. *aseptica* 2022;28(2). *Hospitals & Hygiene*.
4. Wacker A, Dellweg H, Weinblum D. Radiation chemical modification of bacterial deoxyribonucleic acid in vivo. *The Science of Nature* 1960; Vol. 47 (Issue 20), p. 477. doi.org/10.1007/BF00638304
5. Damonti L, Steiger W, Senn L, et al. UV decontamination in healthcare facilities. *Swissnos National Center for Infection Control. Swissnos Bulletin*, 2021 / 01.
6. Masjoudi M, Mohseni M, Bolton JR, Sensitivity of Bacteria, Protozoa, Viruses, and Other Microorganisms to Ultraviolet Radiation, *Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology* 2021; Volume 126, Article No. 126021. doi.org/10.6028/jres.126.021
7. Publication of the List of Treatment Substances and Disinfection Procedures pursuant to Section 11 of the Drinking Water Ordinance – 23rd Amendment – (as of December 2021), *German Federal Environment Agency*.
8. Schmalwieser AW, Cabaj A, Hirschmann G, et al., Ten-year monitoring of an ultraviolet disinfection plant for drinking water, *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science* 2015; Volume 10 Issue JS2, pp. 34–39, ISSN 1496-2551. doi.org/10.1680/jees.14.00014
9. Climate change and the risk of UV-related illness, *Federal Office for Radiation Protection*; <https://www.bfs.de/DE/themen/opt/uv/klimawandel-uv/klima-uv-erkrankung/klimawandel-uv-erkrankung.html>, accessed on 15.06.2022 at 13:45
10. A. Gostine, Gostine D, Donohue C, et al., *American Journal of Infection Control* 2016.44:1089–1094. doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.06.012
11. Anderson DJ, Moehring RW, Weber DJ, et al., Effectiveness of targeted enhanced terminal room disinfection on hospital-wide acquisition and infection with multidrug-resistant organisms and *Clostridium difficile*: a secondary analysis of a multicentre cluster randomised controlled trial with cross-over design (BETR Disinfection). *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018;18(8):845–853. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(18)30278-0
12. Sampathkumar P, Folkert C, Barth JE, et al., A trial of pulsed xenon ultraviolet disinfection to reduce *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2019;47(4):406–408. doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.09.018 19. DIRECTIVE 2006/25/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 5 April 2006 on the minimum health and safety requirements regarding the exposure of workers to risks arising from physical agents (artificial optical radiation) (19th individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC
13. B Knobling, A Ulatowski, G Franke, C Belmar Campos, H Büttner, E M Klupp, P M Maurer, F H H Brill, J K Knobloch. Superiority of manual disinfection using pre-soaked wipes over automatic UV-C radiation without prior cleaning. *Journal of Hospital Infections* 2023 Oct;140:72–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2023.07.017.

### 日本語要約

#### 医療施設の環境衛生における紫外線照射の有効性

国際機関等からは、紫外線照射を推奨するようなガイドラインは出されていないものの、透析部門など一部の病棟で長らく使用されてきた。ある研究では、UV-C照射後にパソコンのキーボード上での細菌数が大幅に減少したと報告されている。他の研究でも肯定的な効果が示されており、*C. difficile*やVREの減少について言及されている。なかでも、微生物の数を最小限に抑えることが重要な集中治療室や手術室などの環境表面に対し、追加措置として紫外線照射を用いることが有効といえる。重要なポイントは、事前の徹底的な清掃、光を遮る物体を排除し、適切な照射時間と照度を保つ事だ。必要な照射時間は微生物によって異なるが、10～20分間の使用を推奨する機器が多い。しかしながら、これは人の目や皮膚に損傷を引き起こすため、人体への曝露がない環境下でのみ使用が可能である。導入にあたっては、次の点を主に考慮する必要がある。①いつどこで使用されるか ②コスト削減や業務の効率化など、いかなる付加価値があるか ③メーカーはどのような有効性を示しているか ④メンテナンスや、運用責任者は誰か。これらを検討し、必要なリソースを確保した上での採用と、その後の適切なトレーニングが重要である。